

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspito.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,205	05/25/2001	Shea Chen	004578.1123	4344
7:	590 05/20/2003			
Jerry W. Mills, Esq. Baker Botts L.L.P. Suite 600			EXAMINER	
			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
2001 Ross Ave Dallas, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, 1A 75	201-2700		2833	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W				
		09/866,205	CHEN & PILLANS	S & EHMKE & YAO				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Renee S. Luebke	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLINATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replination of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the torough within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing display that the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimun will apply and will expire SIX (, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this o ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
-,∟ 2a)∑		— · iis action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🗵 Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-11,14-16,18-21 and 25-38 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)☑ Claim(s) <u>10,20 and 25-36</u> is/are allowed.								
6)[>]								
7) 🗔								
8)								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) 🗌 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected t	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>02 April 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2	!(a)).	l Stage				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
,	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures	• •						
Attachment	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	55					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lice of Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ er:					
IS Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	8				

Application/Control Number: 09/866,205

Art Unit: 2833

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-9, 11, 14-16, 18, 19 and 21 remain rejected under 35 2. U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's existing type of switch discussed on page 2 of the present application in view of Randall, et al. (This type of switch is quite common; further examples include those shown by Goldsmith, et al. and the prior art disclosed by Randall.) As disclosed, the existing switch comprises the claimed apparatus including the base section, conductive part and a membrane, but lacks a resilient structure. However, the similar apparatus of Randall includes a resilient structure 36 to "reduce the required pull down voltage" and it also "reduces the stress and fatigue in the membrane due to switch activation." As these advantages convey a longer life to the device, it would have been obvious to include a resilient, flexure structure on the acknowledged prior art for the purposes taught by Randall. The membrane of Randall has outer portions (below reference numeral 44 in Fig 3, for example) that extend a distance outward from the sections 36 in a direction normal to the direction of movement of the membrane. This outer portion is coupled to the base on its lower side and is "free of physical coupling to said base" except at this location as now required by claims 1 and 15.
- 3. Claims 10, 20 and 25-36 are allowed.

Claims 37 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Application/Control Number: 09/866,205

Art Unit: 2833

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to:

(703) 872-9319 or 308-7722, 308-7724

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b). For formal communications, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE." For informal or draft communications please clearly label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT."

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see *Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office*, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (703) 308-1511. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

May 16, 2002